N96095.AR.000241 NWIRP CALVERTON NY 5090.3a

> Loster Plaza VII not Anders in Drive Pittsbuigh, P.V. 15220-2745

> > (412) 921-70<del>8</del> FAX (412) 921-4040

### **C F Braun Engineering Corporation**

C-49-06-7-119

July 11, 1996

Project Number 5563

Mr Steve Lehman (Code 4023)
Design Manager
Northern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
10 Industrial Highway, MS#82
Lester, Pennsylvania 19113

Reference

Clean Contract No N62472-90-D-1298,

Contract Task Order No 0223

Subject

Phase 2 Pilot Study - Interim Results and Recommendations Fire Training Area, Vapor Extraction/Air Sparging Pilot System

NWIRP Calverton, New York

Dear Mr. Lehman.

Please find enclosed four copies of the subject update for your use

If have any questions or require additional information please call me at (412) 921-8375

Sincerely

David D Brayack, P E.

Project Manager

/DDB

CC:

Mr. R Boucher (Navy) w/o attachment

Mr. J Colter (Navy) w/o attachment

Mr. D Rule (Navy) w/o attachment

Mr. J Trepanowski (CF Braun)

Mr G Bullard (CF Braun) w/o attachment

Mr J Farrell (CF Braun) w/o attachment

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared to summarize the Phase 2 Air Sparging/Soil Vapor Extraction Study interim results for Site 2 - Fire Training Area at the NWIRP Calverton, New York. The pilot study was restarted on May 2, 1996 and except for three days, has operated continuously through June 1996. The three-day down time is believed to have resulted from an electrical storm moving through the area resulting in the blowers being tripped off.

The preliminary findings, activities being conducted, and recommendations are provided below. The data is being presented in expanded tables from the (Phase 1) Pilot Study Report to show both the past and current data. In addition, blank spaces are provided in the report for future data. Note that Table 3-2 (Soil Data) will not be presented in this update since soil samples will not be collected until the end of July 1996.

#### 2.0 FLOW RATES

For most of the wells, the air injection and extraction rates are similar to the rates from the fall of 1995 (Phase 1 Pilot Study). However the target air injection rate of 5 to 9 CFM could not be achieved in 5 of the 16 well injection wells, (See Table 3-1), with actual air injection rates in these 5 wells of only 0.7 to 3 CFM being achieved. A high water table elevation (increasing the pressure needed to displace the water) or plugging of the aquifer/well screens is the believed cause of this problem. Note that this condition occurred during the Phase 1 pilot study and corrected itself during the test.

The current plan for these wells is to continue to allow the system to run to determine if the wells will improve on their own. In addition, on July 11, 1996 Injection Well I13 will be checked to determine is plugging of the well screen has occurred. This well will be surged with water and air, and samples of the water in the well will be checked for iron, clay, formation material, and biological solids.

In the event that the flow rate in these wells do not increase to the target rates by the end of July, CF Braun recommends that a new sheave (pulley) be purchased and installed on the blower to allow for a higher discharge pressure. The sheave is a low cost item, which would not result in a cost impact to the project. However, the use of the new sheave would decrease the total air injection rate by 10 to 20%.

In addition, in early June, CF Braun measured carbon dioxide (CO2) using Draeger Tubes and total Volatile Organic Compounds using a Flame Ionization Detector (FID) in each extraction well header. For the extraction headers which were measured to have a CO2 and FID reading greater than the system average, the flow from that header was increased by 50%. To maintain a constant system flowrate, the flows from the other extraction headers were either maintained at the same rates, or decreased.

#### 3.0 GROUNDWATER DATA

Data from the groundwater samples collected at the start of the trial (05/01/96) are presented in Tables 3-3 and 3-4. In general, these results are similar to those obtained at the end of the Phase 1 Pilot Study, although some differences were noted and are discussed below.

For the permanent monitoring well (GW02), the xylene concentration decreased to below 100 ug/l for the first time (to 32 ug/l). Xylene is the one VOC that did not decrease significantly in concentration in this well during the Phase 1 Pilot Study.

For air injection well IW06, chlorinated VOCs were observed for the first time in this well. These chemicals were known to be present in the area of this well but were found only in the more shallow groundwater (0 to 4 feet below the water table) as opposed to the depth of the injection well screen interval (7 to 8 feet below the water table). The presence of these chemicals at the injection well depth is an indication that vertical mixing of aquifer is occurring.

### 4.0 AIR DATA

Data from air samples collected at the re-start of the trial (05/02/96) and one month into the trial (06/07/96) are presented in Table 3-5. At the re-start of the trial, the VOC concentrations in the combined extraction system are approximately 40% of those observed in November 1995. Based on the results of air samples collected after carbon treatment, the majority of chemicals are being absorbed onto vapor phase carbon units.

Air samples from the North Field (Extraction Well E1 to E6) continue to show very-low levels of VOCs. Based on this data, CF Braun recommends that air extraction in this area be discontinued on July 11, 1996.

2

### 5.0 DRAEGER TUBE TESTING (CO2)

Draeger tube testing for CO2, toluene, and TPH as well as FID readings from select air extraction wells and the total extraction system are presented in Table 3-6. This data indicates that CO2, which is a natural break down product of organics continues to be removed at relatively high concentrations. Estimated organic removal rates (based on CO2) for May and June are 4,000 and 2,000 pounds of carbon, respectively. Based on background readings, between 7% and 40% of the CO2 may result from degradation of natural organics (e.g. leaves).

One trend that was observed with the CO2 data is that a significant decrease in the CO2 concentration from the extraction system occurred in early June, with the average dropping from 1.0/1.5% in May to 0.5% in June. The reason for this drop in CO2 concentration is uncertain. The decrease could have resulted from a natural flushing of CO2 buildup in the soil gas. However, this drop also coincides with the pilot system being down for approximately 3 days prior to June 6, 1996. This outage and associated drop in oxygen may have shocked biological components of the system. CF Braun plans to continue operating the system to track the CO2 results.

Also, one test area was established during the Phase 1 trial to determine if the addition of nitrogen (Urea) affected the CO2 generation rates (and therefore biodegradation rates). Based on the nitrogen addition evaluation, the conclusion from the Phase 1 Pilot Study was that the Urea had a minimal impact on the CO2 generation rates. However, based on the Phase 2 CO2 data, there may now be a significant difference in test and control areas, with much higher CO2s (by a factor of 3 to 4) being observed in the area where the Urea was added. CF Braun plans to continue evaluating this test.

### 6.0 FREE PRODUCT MEASUREMENT

Free product thickness measurements were conducted in May and June 1996. The free product thickness measurements are presented in Table 3-8. This data is still not conclusive. CF Braun is planning to continue collecting free product thickness measurements.

### 7.0 RADIAL EXTENT OF INFLUENCE TESTS FOR EXTRACTIONS WELLS

CF Braun conducted tests to determine the extent of influence that an air extraction well would have on the unsaturated soils. This testing was originally planned to be conducted in

December 1995, however, cold weather forced the AS/SVE system to be shut down prior to testing.

Field testing was performed on May 2, 1996 using the portion of the pilot-scale system located directly east of the Fire Training Ring. A plan view of the test area is illustrated on Figure 1-1. The location of the test area relative to the entire pilot-scale piping network can be seen by comparing Figure 1-1 to the full system plan view illustrated on Drawing 1 of the Summary Results Report (CF Braun, 1996).

As illustrated on Figure 1-1, temporary well points (WP1 - WP4) were installed at predetermined locations surrounding air extraction well E17 so that induced pressure changes within the FTA overburden caused by air flow through E17 could be measured at several intermediate locations between E17 and air injection well I9. The well construction cross-sections illustrating the relative depths of the screened sections of the air injection, air extraction and air monitoring wells are also included on Figure 1-1.

The test results are summarized in Table A. Based on the Table A data, the following conclusions can be made regarding the extent of influence of an air extraction well of the pilot-scale AS/SVE system at the FTA Site:

- Test results indicate that at an air extraction rate of 5 cfm, with no air injection, a vacuum
  can be measured at a distance of 30 feet from the extraction well, but not at a distance of
  40 feet. At air extraction rates of 8 cfm to 12 cfm, a detectable (but not measurable)
  vacuum was induced at a distance of 40 feet.
- The results of test number 2 indicate that when air is being extracted from well E17 at 5 cfm and air is being injected into well I9 at a rate of 9 cfm, a positive pressure was detected in the 3 monitoring points located 11 feet, 21 feet and 30 feet away from well E17. CF Braun is planning is conduct similar tests, but at a lower air injection to air extraction ratio.

### 8.0 PRELIMINARY DISSOLVED OXYGEN MEASUREMENTS IN MONITORING WELLS

CF Braun measured dissolved oxygen concentrations in the shallow aquifer at five monitoring wells. The purpose of this testing was to determine whether the dissolved oxygen concentrations could be used as an indicator of the horizontal extent of influence of the air injection wells.

Groundwater was sampled in the permanent groundwater monitoring well GW02-S and Grumman monitoring wells DH, DG, DS and DR. The wells to be sampled were selected based on the relative distances to air injection wells, where air was delivered into the shallow aquifer. The groundwater sampling wells and the relative locations of these wells to particular air injection wells is illustrated on Diagram 1 of the final (Phase 1) Result Report.

Shallow aquifer samples were collected from each of the monitoring wells on June 6, 1996, beginning approximately 60 minutes after the pilot-scale AS/SVE system was temporarily turned off for routine servicing. Groundwater samples were also collected with the AS/SVE system operating later that day and on June 7. The representative groundwater samples were collected using a low flow, peristaltic pump, after 3 well volumes of groundwater had been purged from each of the monitoring wells. Groundwater temperature and pH were also measured at the same time for each sample. Measurements were made using a Hureba field monitoring device.

The monitoring results indicated that there was no dissolved oxygen in the groundwater collected from the sampled wells. C.F. Braun is planning to conduct similar tests during the end of July 1996 to determine if DO levels rise in the monitoring wells.

### 9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTIVITES

- 1.0 Except as noted below, CF Braun intends to continue operating the system and collecting samples as planned.
- 2.0 Because of the low VOC extraction rates, discontinue air extraction from Wells E1 to E6 on July 11, 1996. This will allow air extraction rates to be increased at other well clusters.
- 3.0 Investigate potential causes of insufficient air injection in several air injection wells. If the rates in these wells do not improve by the end of July, then change out the blower sheave to allow the system air pressure to be increased.
- 4.0 Conduct additional radial extent of testing for Extraction Well E 17, but at lower air injection to extraction ratios.

5.0 Conduct a 2-week trial in which air is not injected into the aquifer. CO2 measurements will be performed as a primary basis for this evaluation. This test will be started in the beginning of August.

TABLE 3-1

AIR EXTRACTION AND INJECTION FLOW RATES (ACFM)

AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

Sample Date	8/31/95	09/07/95	10/04/95	10/12/95		11/20/95	05/02/96	06/07/96		
Measured Extraction	262	262			87*				ļ ·	
Blower Header									 	
<b>Extraction Well Bank</b>	Measurem	ents (calcu	lated aver	age per we				·	 ·	 <del></del>
E1 - E6	7	7	5	5	3	3	5	3	 	 
E7 - E9	7	9	6	6	9	11	7	6	 	
E10 - E12	7	7	9	9	5	7	7	3	 ļ	 
E13 - E16	7	7	6	7	8	9	8	7		<u> </u>
E17	7	7	8	11	13	13	6	20	 	
E19 - E23	8	6	9	12	11	11	7	11		
E18 and E 24 - E29	6	6	8	6	3	3	4	4	 	
E30 - E32	7	8	0	4	3	4	5	5		
Calculated Extraction Air Flow	217	218	199	229	196	209	188	185		
E1 +		2		7	7					
E2		7		1						
E4		8		10	10					
E7										
E10	5	4		8	8					
E12	8	4								
E13				9	9					
E15	5	5								
E18	4	4		4	4					
E19	9	7		13	13					
E20				8	8					
E22				13	13					
E23		9		14	14					
E24	4	5		4	4					
E25		7								
E26										
E28				7	7					
E29		9		10	10					
E30		9		12	12					
E31		7		5	5					
E32				4	4					 

TABLE 3-1 (Continued)
AIR EXTRACTION AND INJECTION FLOW RATES (ACFM)
AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

Monitoring Date	08/31/95	09/07/95	10/04/95	10/12/95	10/25/95	11/22/95	05/02/96	05/09/96	05/16/96	05/26/96	05/30/96	06/06/96
Injection Header		157	153	131	140	140		140				
Individual Injectio	n Well Mea	surements	**************************************	<del> </del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>		
	4	2	4	4	4	5	11	6	6	7	5	6
12	4	4	3	4	2	8	13	7	7	9	8	8
13	9	6	9	10	10	10	35	16	16	19	16	14
14	11	9	12	8	9	10	13	26	26	13	14	12
15	7	5	9	11	12	4	13	14	14	14	9	13
16	2	34	33	13	11	11	3	11	11	12	9	11
17	7	5	13	9	10	7	1	5	5	7	5	5
18	8	6	11	9	13	9	6	13	13	11	13	13
19	13	16	4	` 7	8	. 2	7	13	13	12	12	12
l10	2	1	13	4	9	7	1	1	1	9	9	7
111	0	1	8	11	11	13	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	2	0.5
l12 .	0	1	2	11	10	13	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	3	0.5
l13	2	2	5	4	5	11	2	2	2	1	2	1
114	4	7	11	11	13	11	13	10	11	11	11	9
115	0	1	1	4	2	11	1	1	1	1	1	0.5
116	13	27	9	19	13		4	7	9	10	10	10
Calculated Injection Air Flow	86	127	148	137	142	132	125	146	136	138	129	122

TABLE 3-1 (Continued)
AIR EXTRACTION AND INJECTION FLOW RATES (ACFM)
AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

Monitoring Date	06/13/96	06/20/96	06/27/96	07/02/96					
Injection Header									
Individual Injectio	n Well Mea	surements							
11	5	6	6	5					
12	8	6	8	8					
13	15	17	15	15					
14	9	12	13	13					
15	14	15	17	17					
16	13	12	13	13					
17	5	5	5	5					
18	14	14	14	15					
19	12	10	11	11					
l10	4	3	3	3					
l11	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7					
112	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7					
113	1	1	1	1					
114	9	10	10	10					
l15	0.7	0.8	1	0.7		1			
116	14	14	13	13					
Calculated Injection Air Flow	135	137	132	131					

3-4

TABLE 3-3

## GROUNDWATER RESULTS (ug/L) PERMANENT MONITORING WELL GW02 AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

Sample Date	MDLs	08/16/95	09/28/95	10/26/95	11/21/95	12/19/95	01/23/96	05/01/96		
TCL Volatiles										
Acetone	6	52	<u> </u>	16 J	17 J	**	280			
2-Butanone	3	140	40		3 J		100	34		
Benzene	1	8		1 J				2 .	ı İ	
Ethylbenzene	2	13	13	12	10			4 .	J	
Vinyl Chloride	2	25						9 .	ı İ	
Methylene Chloride	2									
4-Methyl - 2-Pentanone	5	15	19	8 J				11		
Carbon Disulfide	3			48						·
Chloroethane	3	420 D	21	20	17		40 J	36		
1,1-Dichloroethane	2	200	39	36	24		69	51		
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	8		6	1 J					
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	2	220	18	21	14		53	39		
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	2 J								
Tetrachloroethene	1	12	5	12	12		37 J	8 .	J .	
Trichloroethene	1	2 J		1 J	1 J			2 .	ı	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	59	20	41	26		29 J	6 .	ı İ	
Toluene	2	250	78	75	62		94	49		
2 Hexanone	7	15	21							
Total Xylenes	1	110	120	120	100		140	32		
Total Chlorinated VOCs		948	103	137	95		228	151		
Total Non Chlorinated VOCs*		411	254	264	172		234	98		

**TABLE 3-3 (Continued) GROUNDWATER RESULTS** PERMANENT MONITORING WELL - GW02 AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON. NEW YORK

Sample Date	MDLs	8/16/95	9/28/95	10/26/95	11/21/95	12/19/95	1/23/96	05/01/95	
TCL Semivolatiles									
Phenol	1	35	22	8 J				-	
2-Methylphenol	2	23	13	7 J				-	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1	38	17		23		36 J	-	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	2 J					·	•	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	5 J	3 J	3 J		4 J		-	
1,2,4 Trichlorobenzene	1		2 J	3 J	4 J	1 J		•	
Acenaphthene	1						26 J	-	
Dibenzofuran	1							-	
Fluorene	1	5		1 J	2 J	3 J	39 J	-	
Phenanthrene	1	4 J			2 J	1 J		-	
Anthracene	1							-	
Carbazole	1				8 J		•	-	
Fluoranthene	1	5 J			1 J			-	
Pyrene	1	4 J	2 J	2 J	2 J	1 J		-	
Butylbenzylphthalate	2							•	
Di-n-butylphthalate	1			1 J	1 J	2 J		•	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1							-	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	5 J	5	4 J	160	36	43 J	-	
Chrysene	1							-	
Benzo(b)flouranthene	2							-	
Benzo(k)flouranthene	2							•	
Benzo(a)pyrene	2							-	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2							_	<u> </u>

**TABLE 3-3 (Continued) GROUNDWATER RESULTS PERMANENT MONITORING WELL - GW02** AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON. NEW YORK

Sample Date	MDLs	8/16/95	9/28/95	10/26/95	11/21/95	12/19/95	1/23/96	05/01/96	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	2							-	
2-Methylnaphthalene	1	19	36	58	87	55	20 J	•	
4-Methylphenol	2	250 D	91	17		7 J	72 J	•	
Naphthalene	1	45	51	63	67	35	16 J	-	
Isophorone	1					8 J		-	
Total Semivolatile VOCs		440	242	167	357	153	252	-	
PCB-1260	1	26	10	9	8	2.6 J	20	-	
Endosulfan I	0.01	0.04 J		0.009 J				-	
Endosulfan II	0.3			0.34			0.46	-	
Alpha BHC	0.01			0.007 J		0.03 J		-	
Gamma BHC-Lindane	0.02					0.05 J	0.05	-	
Dieldrin	0.01			0.08			0.08	-	
Heptachlor		·					0.04	-	
Aldrin							0.02	-	
Nitrate Nitrogen									
Nitrite Nitrogen			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0.07	

Sample not collected

Chemical not detected above Method Detection Limit(MDL) Blank

Estimated value

D

Analysis of a diluted sample Totals do not include acetone and 2-butanone, which are likely to be laboratory contaminants.

### **TABLE 3-4**

## GROUNDWATER RESULTS (ug/L) AIR INJECTION WELLS IW06, IW13 and IW16 AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

### Air Injection Well IW06

Sample Date	MDLs	8/16/95	9/28/95	10/26/95	11/21/95	12/19/95	05/01/96	
TCL Volatiles								
Acetone	6	10 J						
Chloroethane	3						11	
1,1 Dichlorothane	2						82	
1,2 Dichloroethene	1						9 J	
1,1,1 Trichloroethane	1	***					18	
Trichloroethene	1	-					1 J	
Tetrachloroethene	1						5 J	
2-Butanone	3		27				23	
Total Chlorinated VOCs		0	0	0	0	0	126	
Total Non-Chlorinated VOCs		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nitrate Nitrogen			20000	@	13200		1230	
Nitrite Nitrogen				@				

### Air Injection Well I13

					<b>711 11</b>	ije etion								 	<del></del>	
Sample Date	MDLs	8/16/95	5	9//28/	95	10/26/9	5	11/21/9	5	12/19/9	5	05/01/9	6			
TCL Volatiles							·									
Acetone	6	10	J													
2-Butanone	3			23								5	J			
1,1-Dichloroethane	2		•			7		13		12		14				
1,1-Dichloroethene	1			•		5		2	J							
Tetrachloroethene	1	4	J	2	J	4	J	2	J	2	J	3	J			
Trichloroethene	1	2	J	2	J	11		8		7		4	J			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	5	J	5		38		34		22		31				
Total Chlorinated VOCs		11		9		65		59		43		57				
Total Non Chlorinated		0		0		0		0	227	0		0				
VOCs																
Nitrate Nitrogen												3710				
Nitrite Nitrogen			-									60				

TABLE 3-4 (Continued)
GROUNDWATER RESULTS (ug/L)
AIR INJECTION WELLS IW06, IW13 and IW16
AS/SVE -NWIRP CALVERTON

Air Injection Well 116

Sample Date	MDLs	8/16/95	;	9/28/9	5	10/26/9	5	11/21/9	5	12/19/9	5	05/01/9	6		T	
TCL Volatiles	<u> </u>															<del></del>
Acetone	6	28	**			16	J									
2-Butanone	3	7	J	35		13			••••••				_			
Ethylbenzene	2		<del></del>			3	J	10								
Methylene Chloride	2	4	J													
4-Methyl - 2-Pentanone	5							6	J							
Chloroethane	3	22				94		100		16						
1,1-Dichloroethane	2	85		57		170		300	D	210	**********	34				
1,1-Dichloroethene	1	12			·	6		15		2	J		***************************************			
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	2					3	J	7		2	J					
Tetrachloroethene	1	4	J	2	J	1	J									<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>
Trichloroethene	1	9		5	J	3	J	1	J	2	J					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1	120		33		37		170		85		8	J	.1		
Toluene	2	11				8		5								
Total Xylenes	1	10				13		35		8		2	J			
Total Chlorinated VOCs		256		97		314		593		317		42				
Total Non-Chlorinated VOCs		21		0		14		36	_	8		2	-			
Nitrate Nitrogen				**												
Nitrite Nitrogen	1															<del>~~~~</del>

TABLE 3-4 (Continued)
GROUNDWATER RESULTS (ug/L)
AIR INJECTION WELLS IW06, IW13 and IW16
AS/SVE -NWIRP CALVERTON

Sample broken in transit
 Sample not collected
 Chemical not detected above Method Detection Limit(MDL).
 Estimated value
 Analysis of a diluted sample

TABLE 3-5

# AIR MONITORING RESULTS (ug/m^3) VAPOR EXTRACTION AIR STREAM AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

### AIR SAMPLE FT-AS00-01

Monitoring Date	MDLs	9/1/95	9/7/95	9/29/95	10/25/95	11/20/95	05/02/96	
Vinyl Chloride	0.6	170	49	38				
Chloroethane	0.6	4,600	2,200 D	1,900	12 D	150	70	
Chloromethane			6	19				
Acetone	2.0	140	100	41	66 D	35		
2-Butanone	2.0	29						
Carbon Disulfide	2.0	6	30	19	14 D	24		
Methylene Chloride	1.9	11	30		30 D			
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.9	8,600	8,000 D	10,000	4,200 D	1,800	1,010	
1,2 Dichloroethane	0.9	5		11				
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.9	87	100	94	6 D	16		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9	10	15	10				
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9	990	820 D	940	500 D	300		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0	9,700	11,000 D	15,000	12,000 D	7,000	2,600	
Benzene	0.7	67	68	70	34 D	35		
Trichloroethene	1.0	440	340	270	140 D	120		
Toluene	0.8	2,700	2,200 D	2,500	1,100 D	400	160	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0	45	53	56				
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	920	650	1,000	530 D	550	370	
Ethyl Benzene	1.0	310	230	220	290 D	180		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.0			4 J				
m/p-Xylene	1.0	1,200	670	870	1,400 D	860	85	
o-Xylene	1.0	700	490	660	1,000 D	660	150	
Total Chlorinated VOCs*		26,007	23,573	29,612	17,528	10,056	4,050	
Total Non-Chlorinated VOCs*		5,152	3,788	4,380	3,904	2,194	395	

TABLE 3-5 (Continued)
AIR MONITORING RESULTS (ug/m^3)
AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON

#

				<u></u>	AIR	SAMPLE	F	Γ-AS00-02					·
Monitoring Date	MDLs	9/1/95		9/7/95		9/29/95		10/25/95		11/20/95	05/02/96	06/06/96	
Vinyl Chloride	0.6	5	D	37		17							
Chloroethane	0.6			270	D	1,300		62	D	190		17	
Chloromethane						1	J						
Acetone	2.0	120	D	120		60				38			
2-Butanone	2.0	91	D	7		15							
Carbon Disulfide	2.0			7						18			
Methylene Chloride	1.9	40	D	120		12						10	
Chloroform	1.0			9									
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.9			5		78		15,000	О				
1,2 Dichloroethane	0.9									4,000			
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.9			1	J			63	D	60			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9									6			
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9			1	J			480	D	610			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0			13				21,000	O	13,000			
Benzene	0.7			1	J	1		5	D	19			
Trichloroethene	1.0			2	J	2		25	D	100			
Toluene	0.8	27	D	33		24		190	D	200	14	25	
Tetrachloroethene	1.0			7	J	23		41	D	110		25	e
Ethyl Benzene	1.0			7		1	J			8			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.0			8									
m/p-Xylene	1.0	7	D	29		5				12		10	
o-Xylene	1.0			17		2	J			6			
Styrene	0.9			3	J					-			
Total Chlorinated VOCs*		5		353		1,421		36,671		18,076	0	42	
Total Non-Chlorinated VOCs*		34		97		33		195		263	14	35	

3-29

## TABLE 3-5 (Continued) AIR MONITORING RESULTS (ug/m^3) AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON

### AIR SAMPLE FT-AS00-16

Monitoring Date	MDLs	10/25/95	<del></del>	11/20/95		05/02/96	06/06/96
Vinyl Chloride	0.6						
Chloroethane	0.6						
Chloromethane							
Acetone	2.0			36			
2-Butanone	2.0			56			
Carbon Disulfide	2.0			9			
Methylene Chloride	1.9			8			14
Chloroform	1.0						
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.9	160	D	2	J		
1,2 Dichloroethane	0.9						
1,1-Dichloroethene	0.9			1	J		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9			<del></del>			
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.9			2	J		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.0	320	D	10		14	
Benzene	0.7			3	J		
Trichloroethene	1.0			2	J		
Toluene	0.8	290	D	36		10	85
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.0						
Tetrachloroethene	1.0	<del></del>		15		15	31
Ethyl Benzene	1.0			2	J		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.0						<del>                                     </del>
m/p-Xylene	1.0	***		6			
o-Xylene	1.0		$\neg \uparrow$	2	J		
Styrene	0.9			2	J	<del> </del>	
Total Chlorinated VOCs		480		41		29	31
Total Non-Chlorinated VOCs		290		51		10	85

### TABLE 3-5 (Continued) AIR MONITORING RESULTS (ug/m^3) AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON

Total non-chlorinated VOCs values do not include acetone, 2-butanone and total chlorinated VOCs do not

include methylene chloride because of possible laboratory contamination.

Blank Chemical not detected above Method Detection Limit (MDL).

J Estimated value.

D Analysis of a diluted sample.

Note - The planned air to be collected in December 1995 were not collected. The system was shut down approximately 1.5 weeks early

because of unanticipated cold weather.

TABLE 3-6

### AIR RESULTS - DRAEGER TUBE ANALYSIS AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

**Entering Activated Carbon Unit** 

Date	Toluene (ppm)	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (ppm)	Carbon Dioxide (%)	Flame Ionization Detector (ppm)	Oxygen (%)
09/01/95	35	35	-	-	-
09/07/95	40	30	1.0	-	19
09/29/95*	4	25	2.8	-	14
10/25/95	2	20	1.0	-	15
11/20/95	7	20	0.5	-	-
05/01/96*	•	-	4.2	_	-
05/01/96	-	-	3.0	-	-
05/02/96	•	<b>-</b>	2.5	125	_
05/09/96	-	-	1.5	•	-
05/16/96	•	-	1.0		-
05/23/96	-	-	1.0	-	_
05/30/96			1.5	-	_
06/07/96*	0	5	1.5	52	-
06/13/96	-	-	0.5	-	-
06/20/96	-	-	0.6	_	-
06/27/96	-	-	0.6	<u>-</u>	-
07/02/96	-	-	0.6	•	-

E19-E23 Data (Urea Addition Test)

	CO2 - E19 to E23	CO2 - E 20 (%)	CO2 - E22 (%)
Date	(%)	(Urea added)	(Control)
09/07/95	1.5	1.8	1.6
09//29/95*	2.1	1.9	1.9
10/25/95	3	2.1	2.4
11/20/95	0.5	0.8	0.8
05/01/96*	6.0	-	-
05/02/96	2.0	-	-
06/07/96*	2.5	7.0	2.0
06/20/96	0.6	2.0	0.5
06/27/96	0.6	2.2	0.5
07/02/96	0.6	2.0	0.5
***************************************			

<sup>-</sup> Indicates that data is not available.

Background air sample CO2 measurement (05/01/96): 0.1%/5 pumps = 0.2%.

Background CO2 measurements from Well E32 (downgradient of free product area) are as follows.

05/02/95: 0.2% 06/13/96: 0.1% 07/02/96: 0.2%

<sup>\*</sup> Results should be used with caution since system was done prior to measurement.

TABLE 3-8

FREE PRODUCT DATA
AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON

Month/	Average Free-Product Thickness (feet)										
Year	DG (01)	DH (02)	DF (03)	DM (07)	DN (08)	DV (09)	DP (10)	DR (12)	DS (13)	Aver.	Removed (gallons)
01/93	0.01	0.03	0	0.1	0.04	0	0	0.04	0.1	0.04	0.15
02/93	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05
05/93	0.06	0.04	0	0.03	0	0	0	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.1
06/93	0.13	0.01	0	0	0.01	0	0	0.01	0	0.02	0.1
07/93	0.06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0.01	0
08/93	0.13	0.02	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0.02	0.15
09/93	0.15	0.17	0	0	0	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.04	0.55
10/93	0.17	0.7	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0	0.18	0.12	2.25
11/93	0.16	0.68	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0.02	0.32	0.13	1.5
12/93	0.04	0.11	0	0.1	0.01	0.05	0	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.25
Average (1993)	0.09	0.18	0	0.03	0.01	0.01	0	0.02	0.07	0.04	5.1
02/94	0	0	0	0.13	0	0	0	0	0.06	0.02	0.1
03/94	0.02	О	0	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.5
04/94	0	0	0	0.02	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
05/94	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
06/94	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
07/94	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08/94	0.05	0.02	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.10
09/94	0.01	0.06	0	0	0	0.02	0	0	0	0.01	8.0
10/94	0	0.05	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	2.3
11/94	0.04	0.2	0	0.01	0	0.02	0	0.01	0.25	0.06	2.0
12/94	0	0.08	0	0.01	0.01	0	0	0.38	0.25	0.08	3.5
Average (1994)	0.01	0.04	0	0.02	0	0	0	0.04	0.05	0.02	9.44

TABLE 3-8 (Continued)
FREE PRODUCT DATA
AS/SVE NWIRP CALVERTON

Month/	Average Free-Product Thickness (feet)										
Year	DG (01)	DH (02)	DF (03)	DM (07)	DN (08)	DV (09)	DP (10)	DR (12)	DS (13)	Aver.	Removed (gallons)
01/95	0	0.01	0	0.14	0.02	0	0	0.015	0.03	0.02	0.65
02/95	0	0	0	0.04	0	0	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.2
03/95	0	0	0	0.10	0.01	0	0	0	0.03	0.02	0.1
04/95	0.01	0.01	0	0.04	0	0.01	0	0	0.02	0.01	0
05/95	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	-
06/95	0.02	0.06	0	0.02	0.01	0.05	0	0.98	0.69	0.20	2.5
07/95	0.06	0.25	0	0.07	0.01	0.02	0	0.48	0.73	0.18	9.25
08/95	0.03	0.09	0.02	0.01	0	0.05	0	0.22	1.01	0.16	5.25
Average (1995)	0.02	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.24	0.36	0.09	17 95
Start of Pilot	Test (08/31/9	95)									
09/95	0.04	0.05	0.05	1.12	0.05	0.9	0.68	0.07	0.91	0.43	5.5
10/95	0.33	0	0.02	0.87	0.05	0.82	0.47	0.09	0.84	0.39	6.5
11/95	0	0.25	0.03	0.68	0.02	0.61	0.37	0.34	0.51	0.31	5.5
12/95	0.15	0.05	0	0.15	0	0.2	0	0.25	0.2	0.11	0.5
Average (1995)	0.13	0.09	0.03	0.71	0.03	0.63	0.38	0.19	0.62	0.31	18
05/96	0.09	0.27	_	0.01	0.01	0	0.01	0.15	0.13	0.08	0
06/96	0.07	0.29	-	0.03	0	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.12	0.08	0
WITT									·		

TABLE A

### RADIAL EXTENT OF INFLUENCE TESTS AS/SVE - NWIRP CALVERTON

MEASURED AIR FLOW RATES AND VACUUM						INDUCED PRESSURE CHANGES AT MONITORING LOCATIONS (inches water column)						
						Location ID (distance from Well E17, ft)						
Test No.	Surrounding Wells	Air Extraction Well E17 Air Flow (cfm)	Vacuum (inches water)	Air Injection Well I9 Air Flow (cfm)	WP1 (11 ft)	WP2 (21 ft)	WP3 (30 ft)	WP4 (40 ft)				
1	Off	5	-0.88	Off	-0.20	-0.12	-0.08	NT				
2	On	5	-0.64	9	0.06	0.11	0.21	0.00				
3	Off	5	-0.6	Off	-0.04	-0.02	-0.02	0.00				
4	Off	8	-1	Off	-0.14	-0.08	-0.04	tick				
5	Off	12	-1.6	Off	-0.13	-0.04	-0.04	tick				

NT - Well not tested.

tick - Small needle deflection on the monitoring device which cannot be quantified.

Measured vacuums are indicated by negative sign preceding value ( - ).